ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Korean J Intern Med 2019;34:1058-1067 https://doi.org/10.3904/kjim.2018.169



Clinical efficacy of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry in patients with multidrug-resistant bacteremia: a single-center study in Korea

Jeongha Mok, Eun-Jung Jo, Jung Seop Eom, Mi-Hyun Kim, Ki Uk Kim, Hye-Kyung Park, Min Ki Lee, and Kwangha Lee

Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Pusan National University School of Medicine, Busan, Korea

Received: May 11, 2018 Revised: July 12, 2018 Accepted: August 2, 2018

Correspondence to Kwangha Lee, M.D.

Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Pusan National University School of Medicine, 179 Gudeok-ro, Seo-gu, Busan 49241, Korea Tel: +82-51-240-7743 Fax: +82-51-254-3127 E-mail: jubilate@pusan.ac.kr **Background/Aims:** Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) is a new diagnostic tool for microorganism identification. The clinical usefulness of this approach has not been widely examined in Korea. This retrospective pre-post-intervention quasi-experimental study examined the effect of MALDI-TOF MS on patients with multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteremia in the intensive care unit (ICU).

Methods: All consecutive patients with MDR bacteremia in the ICU of a tertiary care hospital between March 2011 and February 2013 and between March 2014 and February 2016 were enrolled. MALDI-TOF MS was introduced between these periods. In the pre-intervention and intervention groups, microorganisms were identified by conventional means and by MALDI-TOF MS, respectively. The groups were compared in terms of time from venipuncture to microorganism identification and antimicrobial susceptibility test results.

Results: In total, 187 patients (mean age, 61.0 years; 56.7% male) were enrolled. Of these, 97 and 90 were in the pre-intervention and intervention groups, respectively. The intervention group had a significantly shorter time from venipuncture to microorganism identification and antimicrobial susceptibility test results (82.5 \pm 21.6 hours vs. 92.3 \pm 40.4 hours, p = 0.038). The antibiotics were adjusted in 52 patients (26 each in the pre-intervention and intervention groups) based on these results. These groups did not differ in terms of time from venipuncture to antibiotic adjustment, and multivariate regression analysis showed that MALDI-TOF MS–based microorganism identification was not associated with 28-day mortality.

Conclusions: Our study showed that MALDI-TOF MS accelerated microorganism identification in patients with MDR bacteremia, but did not influence 28-day mortality.

Keywords: Drug resistance; Identification; Intensive care units; Spectrometry, mass, matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionization



INTRODUCTION

One of the most important determinants influencing the outcomes of patients in the intensive care unit (ICU), especially those with serious infectious diseases (IDs), is antibiotic resistance. This influence is due largely to the tendency for prevalence of endemic antibiotic resistance in ICU patient populations because of the extensive use of empirical broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents in the ICU, the weakened immune systems of patients, and the frequent use of invasive devices (e.g., venous cannulae) that impact anatomical integrity and protective barriers [1-3]. These high rates of antibiotic resistance in the ICU, which are growing, are associated with high morbidity and mortality rates and costly hospitalization stays [4,5]. Therefore, to improve patient outcomes and lower healthcare costs, a major objective of many hospitals is to reduce the prevalence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacterial infections, especially in the ICU [4,6]. One approach to do so is to reduce the duration of empirical broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy by using novel diagnostic tools that are now widely available. These tools can rapidly identify the microorganisms with which patients are infected, thereby promoting the more judicious use of antibiotics [7-10].

One of these new tools used for rapid pathogen identification is matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS). It is increasingly being used in hospitals worldwide to identify microorganisms, and several recent studies have shown that it improves the clinical outcomes of patients, especially those with bacteremia [11-14]. However, in Korea, critical care delivery systems are underdeveloped compared with those in Western countries [15-17]. As a result, newly developed rapid pathogen identification tools such as MALDI-TOF MS have not been fully introduced into clinical practice. Therefore, whether MALDI-TOF MS can also be useful for Korean patients with MDR bacteremia remains unclear.

To address this issue, the present study evaluated the clinical efficacy of rapid microorganism identification using MALDI-TOF MS in Korean patients with MDR bacteremia in the ICU by comparing two patient groups: one from before the introduction and one from after the introduction of MALDI-TOF MS in our institution.

METHODS

Study design, ethics, and subject selection

This retrospective quasi-experimental pre-post-intervention study included all consecutive patients who had positive blood culture tests in the ICUs of Pusan National University Hospital, Busan, Korea, between March 2011 and February 2013 and between March 2014 and February 2016. MALDI-TOF MS was introduced in the hospital between these two study periods (i.e., between March 2013 and February 2014). Thus, the patients were divided into the pre-intervention group, in which microorganisms were identified by conventional methods between March 2011 and February 2013, and the intervention group, in which microorganisms were identified by MALDI-TOF MS between March 2014 and February 2016 (all patients in the intervention group underwent microorganism indentification using MAL-DI-TOF MS only). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Pusan National University Hospital (C-1703-004-052). The need for informed consent from patients was waived due to the observational and retrospective nature of this study. Our study had no impact on the treatment of the patients included.

Pusan National University Hospital is a university-affiliated tertiary referral academic care hospital with 1,100 beds. It has six functionally separate ICUs with 85 beds (medical, 12 beds; surgical, 10 beds; cardio-stroke, 14 beds; neurosurgical, 13 beds; emergency, 20 beds; and trauma, 16 beds). All ICUs have full cardiovascular and close airway monitoring equipment. Each has one full-time ICU specialist. All patients were managed according to therapeutic recommendations based on sepsis survival guidelines and a lung-protective ventilator strategy [18,19].

Patients in the ICU were included in the study if they were \geq 18 years of age, had positive blood culture tests during their ICU care, and were shown by conventional methods or MALDI-TOF MS to have one of the following six MDR bacteria: methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing Gram-negative bacteria (*Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*), carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative rods (*Acinetobacter baumannii* [CRAB] and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [CRPA]), and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (VRE). Patients with polymicrobial bacteremia or a subsequent bacteremia episode

кјім≁

after the first (index) bacteremia event were excluded in accordance with the study design of Lockwood et al. [13].

All investigators contributed to the design of the study and confirmed that the study objectives and procedures were honestly disclosed. Between September 2016 and February 2017, the electronic medical records of all enrolled patients were reviewed retrospectively. The relevant medical, laboratory, and radiological data were extracted and used to complete a case report form for each patient, and the data were analyzed.

Microbiology workflow

Blood samples were sent to the microbiology laboratory and were used to inoculate plates containing the appropriate solid agar media as soon as they were received. At the same time, all specimens were Gram stained. Positive blood cultures from the pre-intervention group underwent microorganism identification using conventional and automated biochemical methods (VITEK-2, bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France). Positive blood cultures from the intervention group underwent microorganism identification using MALDI-TOF MS (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). In both groups, antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed using the VITEK-2 and E-test methods (bioMérieux). The laboratory identified microorganisms and performed antimicrobial susceptibility testing once a day at 9:00 AM for both groups.

Data collection

The following demographic and clinical data were gathered from the medical records of each patient: age, sex, comorbidities before ICU admission, and lengths of ICU stay, hospital stay, and ventilator care. The severity of illness was measured using Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II scoring, and accompanying organ failure was measured using Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) scoring [20,21]. The APACHE II and SOFA scores were calculated based on laboratory and clinical data recorded on the day of venipuncture for blood culture.

Microbiological information, including microorganism identification and antimicrobial susceptibility test results, were also extracted from the medical records. The time from venipuncture to microorganism identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing was noted. A subset of patients underwent antibiotic adjustment based on the antibiotic susceptibility test results. In these cases, we also determined the time from venipuncture to antibiotic adjustment. Whether an ID specialist was consulted was also evaluated. The antibiotics used for more than 3 days in the 3 months before blood was drawn in the ICU for microorganism culture were also recorded for all patients.

The primary source of infection at the time of ICU admission was also recorded. Moreover, whether the patient needed hemodialysis (defined as use of any form of renal replacement therapy), neuromuscular blocking agents, vasopressors, and/or ventilator care on the day of venipuncture for microorganism culture was documented. Survivors were defined as patients who survived for 28 days after blood was drawn.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation and categorical variable are expressed as number (%). Continuous variables in the pre-intervention and intervention groups were compared using Student t test, and categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test or Fisher exact test (for small samples). Receiver operating characteristic curves were constructed to determine cut-off values. Identification of an optimal cut-off value was based on the maximum Youden's index [22]. To determine whether MALDI-TOF MSbased microorganism identification served as an independent prognostic factor for 28-day mortality, logistic regression analyses were performed with the inclusion of variables with p < 0.05 in univariate analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) and MedCalc Statistical Software version 18.5 (MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium). Two-tailed *p* values < 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

In total, 1,283 patients in the ICU had positive blood cultures during the two study periods. After applying the eligibility criteria, 187 patients were enrolled in the study (Fig. 1). Blood for microorganism culture was collected

кјім≁



Figure 1. Flowchart of the study participants. MDR, multidrug-resistant.

 28.2 ± 117.3 days after admission to the ICU. Respiratory infections were the most common source of bacteremia (39.0%), and MRSA was the most commonly identified microorganism (39.6%). During the study period, the total mortality rate in the 28 days after venipuncture for microorganism culture was 38.0%. Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics of all patients, survivors, and non-survivors. Compared with survivors, non-survivors were more likely to require ventilator care, vasopressors, renal replacement therapy, and neuromuscular blocking agents on the day of blood collection for microorganism culture.

Comparison of the pre-intervention and intervention groups

Of the 187 patients enrolled in the study, 97 were in the pre-intervention group and 90 were in the intervention group. The most common microorganisms in the pre-intervention and intervention groups were MRSA and CRAB, respectively (Table 2). However, the two groups did not differ in terms of frequencies of infection with different microorganisms.

The intervention group had a significantly shorter average time from venipuncture to microorganism identification and antimicrobial susceptibility test results than the pre-intervention group (p = 0.038) (Table 2, Fig. 2). However, when the groups were divided according to the causative microorganism, they did not differ in terms of this variable (Table 2).

In total, 143 of the 187 patients (76.5%) received antibiotics for more than 3 days in the 3 months before blood culture. The two groups did not differ in terms of this variable (p = 0.685). Table 3 shows the antibiotics that were used for more than 3 days in the 3 months before blood culture. In both groups, glycopeptides and carbapenems were the most commonly used antibiotics. The frequency with which patients were given different types of antibiotics in the 3 months before blood culture did not differ between groups (Table 3). Of the 187 study patients, 122 (65.2%) required consultation with an ID specialist (Fig. 3). The intervention group had more consultations with an ID specialist than the pre-intervention group (74.4% vs. 56.7%, p = 0.014).

The antibiotics used were adjusted in 52 patients (27.8%) based on microorganism identification and antimicrobial susceptibility test results. Of these, 26 were in the pre-intervention group and 26 were in the intervention group. The pre-intervention and intervention groups did not differ in terms of the frequency of antibiotic adjustment (p = 0.751). The most common adjustment in both groups was a change to treat CRAB (32.7%), followed by a change to treat ESBL-producing Gram-negative bacteria (21.2%). However, the two groups did not differ significantly in terms of average time from venipuncture to antibiotic adjustment (Fig. 2). Of the 135 patients who did not undergo adjustment of antibiotics, 70 (51.9%) had the same microorganisms in non-blood specimens that were taken before the blood culture (Fig. 3). No difference was noted in the frequency of this variable between groups (p = 0.490).

Effect of MALDI-TOF MS-based microorganism identification on clinical outcomes

The two groups did not differ in terms of the mortality rate within 28 days of venipuncture (40.2% in the pre-intervention group and 35.6% in the intervention group, p = 0.549). In addition, the in-hospital mortality rate did not differ between groups (48.5% in the pre-intervention group and 46.7% in the intervention group, p = 0.807). To evaluate the prognostic utility of MALDI-TOF MS, we assessed the mortality rates of the 52 patients who underwent antibiotic adjustment. MALDI-TOF MS was not significantly associated with this mortality rate (47.1% vs. 52.9%, p > 0.999).

A multivariate logistic regression analysis of data from the 52 patients who underwent antibiotic adjustment showed that diabetes and malignant disease were



Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of all enrolled patients and the survivors and non-survivors for 28 days after blood was drawn

Characteristic	Total (n = 187)	Survivors ^a (n = 116)	Non-survivors (n = 71)	p value
Age, yr	61.0 ± 16.6	59.5 ± 15.8	63.3 ± 17.6	0.130
Male sex	106 (56.7)	70 (60.3)	36 (50.7)	0.197
Comorbidities				
Diabetes mellitus	53 (28.3)	35 (30.2)	18 (25.4)	0.478
Cardiovascular disease	46 (24.6)	28 (24.1)	18 (25.4)	0.852
Hemato-oncological disease	42 (22.5)	12 (10.3)	30 (42.3)	< 0.001
Cerebrovascular disease	33 (17.6)	24 (20.7)	9 (12.7)	0.163
Neuromuscular disease	20 (10.7)	12 (10.3)	8 (11.3)	0.843
Chronic renal disease	18 (9.6)	13 (11.2)	5 (7.0)	0.349
Chronic liver disease	15 (8.0)	10 (8.6)	5 (7.0)	0.700
Chronic lung disease	15 (8.0)	8 (6.9)	7 (9.9)	0.469
Rheumatological disease	8 (4.3)	4 (3.4)	4 (5.6)	0.481
Biliary disease	5 (2.7)	3 (2.6)	2 (2.8)	> 0.999
Gastrointestinal disease	5 (2.7)	3 (2.6)	2 (2.8)	> 0.999
APACHE II score ^b	17.5 ± 6.7	15.7 ± 6.3	20.4 ± 6.3	< 0.001
SOFA score ^b	7.5 ± 4.1	6.0 ± 3.3	9.8 ± 4.3	< 0.001
Requirement for vasopressor ^b	75 (40.1)	31 (26.7)	44 (62.0)	< 0.001
Requirement for RRT ^b	38 (20.3)	15 (12.9)	23 (32.4)	0.001
Requirement for NMB ^b	27 (14.4)	11 (9.5)	16 (22.5)	0.014
Requirement for MV ^b	124 (66.3)	68 (58.6)	56 (78.9)	0.004
Duration of MV, day	30.9 ± 55.4	34.0 ± 53.7	27.1 ± 57.7	0.494
Hospital LOS, day	71.6 ± 77.6	92.2 ± 82.3	37•4 ± 54•5	< 0.001
ICU LOS, day	35.3 ± 50.0	40.1 ± 48.5	27.2 ± 51.7	0.087
Infection source				
Respiratory	73 (39.0)	46 (39.7)	27 (38.0)	0.825
Vascular catheter-related	30 (16.0)	18 (15.5)	12 (16.9)	0.802
Musculoskeletal	16 (8.6)	13 (11.2)	3 (4.2)	0.098
Intraabdominal	14 (7.5)	10 (8.6)	4 (5.6)	0.451
Urinary	12 (6.4)	10 (8.6)	2 (2.8)	0.137
Skin and soft tissue	12 (6.4)	7 (6.0)	5 (7.0)	0.768
Unidentified	30 (16.0)	12 (10.3)	18 (25.4)	0.007
Microorganism identified				
Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus	74 (39.6)	59 (50.9)	15 (21.1)	< 0.001
Carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii	54 (28.9)	29 (25.0)	25 (35.2)	0.135
ESBL(+) Klebsiella pneumoniae	22 (11.8)	15 (12.9)	7 (9.9)	0.527
Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium	20 (10.7)	3 (2.6)	17 (23.9)	< 0.001
ESBL(+) Escherichia coli	11 (5.9)	7 (6.0)	4 (5.6)	> 0.999
Carbapenem-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa	6 (3.2)	3 (2.6)	3 (4.2)	0.675

Values are presented as mean ± SD or number (%).

APACHE, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; RRT, renal replacement therapy; NMB, neuromuscular blockade; MV, mechanical ventilation; LOS, length of stay; ICU, intensive care unit; ESBL, extended-spectrum β -lactamase.

^aSurvivors were defined as patients who survived for 28 days after blood was drawn.

^bBased on the clinical data that were recorded on the day on which blood was drawn.



Variable	Pre-intervention group $(n = 97)$	Intervention group $(n = 90)$	p value
Identified microorganisms			
Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus	44 (45.5)	30 (33.3)	0.093
Carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii	23 (23.7)	31 (34.4)	0.106
ESBL(+) Klebsiella pneumoniae	13 (13.4)	9 (10.0)	0.471
Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium	7 (7.2)	13 (14.4)	0.110
ESBL(+) Escherichia coli	6 (6.2)	5 (5.6)	0.855
Carbapenem-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa	4 (4.1)	2 (2.2)	0.684
Time from venipuncture to microorganism identification and antibiotics susceptibility result, hr			
All microorganisms	92.3 ± 40.4	82.5 ± 21.6	0.038
Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus	91.9 ± 33.9	85.4 ± 20.9	0.357
Carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii	87.3 ± 54.5	77.3 ± 16.4	0.338
ESBL(+) Klebsiella pneumoniae	77.6 ± 24.7	75.7 ± 22.2	0.854
Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium	108.0 ± 48.8	96.7 ± 29.5	0.522
ESBL(+) Escherichia coli	113.1 ± 37.2	77.6 ± 19.4	0.088
Carbapenem-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa	115.5 ± 35.1	70.3 ± 17.7	0.174

Table 2. Comparison of the pre-intervention and intervention groups in terms of microorganism-related variables

Values are presented as number (%) or mean \pm SD.

ESBL, extended-spectrum β -lactamase.



Figure 2. Comparison of the pre-intervention and intervention groups in terms of microbiology workflow. Pre-int., pre-intervention group; Int., intervention group.

comorbidities independently associated with 28-day mortality. However, MALDI-TOF MS-based microorganism identification was not associated with 28-day mortality (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

This study is the first to examine the clinical efficacy of

MALDI-TOF MS-based microorganism identification for patients with MDR bacteremia in ICUs in Korea. The use of MALDI-TOF MS for microorganism identification significantly reduced the time from venipuncture to microorganism identification and antimicrobial susceptibility test results, but did not significantly impact survival, in our cohort of patients with MDR bacteremia in the ICU.

Our finding that MALDI-TOF MS reduces the time from venipuncture to microorganism identification and antimicrobial susceptibility test results is consistent with the findings of several studies [12-14,23-25]. However, one marked difference between previous studies and our findings is that both groups, particularly the intervention group, in our study had longer times from venipuncture to microorganism identification and antimicrobial susceptibility test results (92.3 and 82.5 hours in the pre-intervention and intervention groups, respectively) than did equivalent groups in previous studies (48.0 to 84.0 and 23.0 to 55.9 hours, respectively) [13,24]. This difference may reflect our hospital's policy of performing microorganism identification tests once per day due to limited resources (e.g., excessive workload



Antibiotic	Pre-intervention group $(n = 97)$	Intervention group (n = 90)	p value
Glycopeptides	49 (50.5)	57 (63.3)	0.077
Carbapenems	47 (48.5)	41 (45.6)	0.692
Fluoroquinolones	38 (39.2)	43 (47.8)	0.236
Third-generation cephalosporins	41 (42.3)	33 (36.7)	0.434
Anti-pseudomonal penicillins	25 (25.8)	27 (30.0)	0.519
Fourth-generation cephalosporins	18 (18.6)	27 (30.0)	0.067
Colistin	9 (9.3)	17 (18.9)	0.058
Aminoglycosides	9 (9.3)	12 (13.3)	0.380
Minocycline	4 (4.1)	10 (11.1)	0.070
Macrolide	6 (6.2)	1 (1.1)	0.120
Second-generation cephalosporins	4 (4.1)	2 (2.2)	0.684
Linezolid	3 (3.1)	3 (3.3)	> 0.999
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	3 (3.1)	2 (2.2)	> 0.999
Tigecycline	2 (2.1)	0	0.498

Table 3. Comparison of the pre-intervention and intervention groups in terms of antibiotics that were used for more than 3 days in the 3 months before venipuncture for blood culture

Values are presented as number (%).



Figure 3. Flowchart of consultation with an infectious diseases specialist and antibiotics adjustment. ID, infectious disease.

and lack of manpower). To improve the clinical usefulness of MALDI-TOF MS, universal protocols must be established.

Our study demonstrated that the use of MALDI-TOF MS to identify bacteremia-causing microorganisms in patients in the ICU was not associated with 28-day mortality. This finding may reflect the fact that most patients had received empirical broad-spectrum antibiotics before blood microorganism identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Moreover, in 37% of the patients, the same microorganisms had been identified in non-blood specimens (e.g., sputum and urine) taken before the bacteremia arose. Thus, in approximately one-third of patients, the antibiotics administered had already been adjusted before bacteremia developed and blood was taken for culture. These factors may have limited the ability of our study to detect a significant effect of MALDI-TOF MS on mortality rates.

One of the most important findings of our study is that the time from blood culture to antibiotic adjustment did not differ between the pre-intervention and intervention groups, meaning that the advantage of rapid microorganism identification by MALDI-TOF MS did not result in rapid antibiotic adjustment. Although this result may be attributable to the small number of patients who underwent antibiotic adjustment in our study, our findings suggest that optimal interventions are needed not only for real-time notification to attending physicians regarding pathogen identification, but also for reinforcement of antimicrobial stewardship programs. Despite the severity of MDR bacteremia, only

Table 4. Factors that associated with 28-day mortality^{a,b}

Factor	Univariate			Multivariate		
	OR	95% CI	p value	OR	95% CI	p value
APACHE II score $\ge 18^{c}$	3.556	1.006–12.562	0.049			
SOFA score $\ge 9^{c}$	2.182	0.598–7.961	0.237			
Diabetes as a comorbidity	4.296	1.176–15.702	0.027	5.608	1.306–24.081	0.020
Malignant disease as a comorbidity	4.227	1.002–17.843	0.050	6.383	1.262–32.283	0.025
Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium bacteremia	9.000	1.580–51.261	0.013			
Consultation with an infectious diseases specialist	0.145	0.025–0.852	0.033			
Microorganism identification via MALDI-TOF MS	1.191	0.373–3.801	0.768			

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; APACHE, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; MALDI-TOF MS, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry.

^aDefined as death within 28 days after venipuncture for blood culture.

^bIn total, 52 patients (26 in the pre-intervention group and 26 in the intervention group) who underwent antibiotics adjustment were included in this analysis.

^cBased on the clinical data that were recorded on the day of venipuncture. Identification of an optimal cut-off value was based on the maximum Youden's index.

65% of our patients had consultations with an ID specialist. Moreover, only 24% of these patients had changes to their antimicrobial treatment that were suggested by an ID specialist. These findings may be typical of the situation in Korea, where few antimicrobial stewardship programs have been established. This factor may be responsible for the recent increase in the consumption of empirical broad-spectrum antibiotics in Korea [26]. Well-regulated antimicrobial stewardship is known to be essential for limiting multidrug resistance worldwide [27,28]. Indeed, the treatment of patients with MDR bacteremia significantly improves when rapid diagnostics is coupled with antibiotic stewardship [11-13,29]. In addition, a study showed that the combination of MAL-DI-TOF MS and real-time antimicrobial stewardship could achieve optimal treatment outcomes as well as a faster time to optimal antimicrobial therapy compared with MALDI-TOF MS alone [30]. Therefore, the development of a well-regulated national antibiotic stewardship program combined with rapid diagnostic tests (i.e., those based on MALDI-TOF MS) is necessary in our country. Antimicrobial stewardship programs should have a multidisciplinary approach, including an attending physician, ID physician(s), and ID pharmacist(s), as well as real-time notifications to the attending physician(s) regarding pathogen identification [12,30].

Our study has several limitations. First, it had a

non-randomized design and was retrospective in nature, which may have resulted in selection bias. Second, our before-after design may not have taken into account changes in the standard of care over the study period that may have improved mortality. However, no significant change in standard sepsis management occurred during the study period. Third, our data are from a single center, and thus the results may not be representative of the general situation in Korea. Finally, we had a small sample, which prevented us from assessing the prognostic utility of MALDI-TOF MS in patients with specific bacteria or sources of infection.

In conclusion, the present study showed that MAL-DI-TOF MS reduced the time from venipuncture to microorganism identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing. However, it did not serve as a prognostic indicator in patients with MDR bacteremia. This result may reflect the limitations of this study. Prospective large-scale studies of the optimal use and prognostic utility of MALDI-TOF MS-based microorganism identification in Korean ICUs are warranted.

KEY MESSAGE

1. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF



MS) accelerated microorganism identification in patients with multidrug-resistant bacteremia in the intensive care unit.

2. However, MALDI-TOF MS-based microorganism identification did not influence 28-day mortality.

Conflict of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (Ministry of Science and ICT) (2016R1C1B1008529).

REFERENCES

- 1. Brusselaers N, Vogelaers D, Blot S. The rising problem of antimicrobial resistance in the intensive care unit. Ann Intensive Care 2011;1:47.
- Xu J, Duan X, Wu H, Zhou Q. Surveillance and correlation of antimicrobial usage and resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a hospital population-based study. PLoS One 2013;8:e78604.
- 3. McLaughlin M, Advincula MR, Malczynski M, Qi C, Bolon M, Scheetz MH. Correlations of antibiotic use and carbapenem resistance in enterobacteriaceae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013;57:5131-5133.
- 4. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012;18:268-281.
- 5. Vardakas KZ, Rafailidis PI, Konstantelias AA, Falagas ME. Predictors of mortality in patients with infections due to multi-drug resistant Gram negative bacteria: the study, the patient, the bug or the drug? J Infect 2013;66:401-414.
- 6. Magill SS, Edwards JR, Bamberg W, et al. Multistate point-prevalence survey of health care-associated infections. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1198-1208.
- 7. Makristathis A, Riss S, Hirschl AM. A novel fluorescence in situ hybridization test for rapid pathogen identification in positive blood cultures. Clin Microbiol Infect

2014;20:0760-0763.

- 8. Seng P, Drancourt M, Gouriet F, et al. Ongoing revolution in bacteriology: routine identification of bacteria by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Clin Infect Dis 2009;49:543-551.
- 9. Kerremans JJ, Verboom P, Stijnen T, et al. Rapid identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing reduce antibiotic use and accelerate pathogen-directed antibiotic use. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008;61:428-435.
- Vincent JL, Brealey D, Libert N, et al. Rapid diagnosis of infection in the critically ill, a multicenter study of molecular detection in bloodstream infections, pneumonia, and sterile site infections. Crit Care Med 2015;43:2283-2291.
- Verroken A, Defourny L, le Polain de Waroux O, et al. Clinical impact of MALDI-TOF MS identification and rapid susceptibility testing on adequate antimicrobial treatment in sepsis with positive blood cultures. PLoS One 2016;11:e0156299.
- 12. Wenzler E, Goff DA, Mangino JE, Reed EE, Wehr A, Bauer KA. Impact of rapid identification of Acinetobacter baumannii via matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry combined with antimicrobial stewardship in patients with pneumonia and/or bacteremia. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2016;84:63-68.
- 13. Lockwood AM, Perez KK, Musick WL, et al. Integrating rapid diagnostics and antimicrobial stewardship in two community hospitals improved process measures and antibiotic adjustment time. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2016;37:425-432.
- 14. Kock R, Wullenweber J, Horn D, Lanckohr C, Becker K, Idelevich EA. Implementation of short incubation MAL-DI-TOF MS identification from positive blood cultures in routine diagnostics and effects on empiric antimicrobial therapy. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2017;6:12.
- 15. Kim JH, Hong SK, Kim KC, et al. Influence of full-time intensivist and the nurse-to-patient ratio on the implementation of severe sepsis bundles in Korean intensive care units. J Crit Care 2012;27:414.
- Phua J, Koh Y, Du B, et al. Management of severe sepsis in patients admitted to Asian intensive care units: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2011;342:d3245.
- Kwak SH, Jeong CW, Lee SH, Lee HJ, Koh Y. Current status of intensive care units registered as critical care subspecialty training hospitals in Korea. J Korean Med Sci 2014;29:431-437.



- Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock, 2012. Intensive Care Med 2013;39:165-228.
- 19. Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network, Brower RG, Matthay MA, et al. Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 2000;342:1301-1308.
- 20. Knaus WA, Draper EA, Wagner DP, Zimmerman JE. APACHE II: a severity of disease classification system. Crit Care Med 1985;13:818-829.
- 21. Vincent JL, Moreno R, Takala J, et al. The SOFA (Sepsis-related Organ Failure Assessment) score to describe organ dysfunction/failure. On behalf of the Working Group on Sepsis-Related Problems of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. Intensive Care Med 1996;22:707-710.
- 22. Perkins NJ, Schisterman EF. The inconsistency of "optimal" cutpoints obtained using two criteria based on the receiver operating characteristic curve. Am J Epidemiol 2006;163:670-675.
- 23. Clerc O, Prod'hom G, Vogne C, Bizzini A, Calandra T, Greub G. Impact of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry on the clinical management of patients with Gram-negative bacteremia: a prospective observational study. Clin Infect Dis 2013;56:1101-1107.
- 24. Huang AM, Newton D, Kunapuli A, et al. Impact of rapid organism identification via matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight combined with antimicrobial stewardship team intervention in adult patients with

bacteremia and candidemia. Clin Infect Dis 2013;57:1237-1245.

- 25. Martiny D, Debaugnies F, Gateff D, et al. Impact of rapid microbial identification directly from positive blood cultures using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry on patient management. Clin Microbiol Infect 2013;19:E568-E581.
- 26. Yoon YK, Park GC, An H, Chun BC, Sohn JW, Kim MJ. Trends of antibiotic consumption in korea according to national reimbursement data (2008-2012): a population-based epidemiologic study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e2100.
- 27. Holloway KA, Rosella L, Henry D. The impact of WHO essential medicines policies on inappropriate use of antibiotics. PLoS One 2016;11:e0152020.
- 28. Howard P, Pulcini C, Levy Hara G, et al. An international cross-sectional survey of antimicrobial stewardship programmes in hospitals. J Antimicrob Chemother 2015;70:1245-1255.
- 29. Osthoff M, Gurtler N, Bassetti S, et al. Impact of MAL-DI-TOF-MS-based identification directly from positive blood cultures on patient management: a controlled clinical trial. Clin Microbiol Infect 2017;23:78-85.
- 30. Beganovic M, Costello M, Wieczorkiewicz SM. Effect of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) alone versus maldi-tof ms combined with real-time antimicrobial stewardship interventions on time to optimal antimicrobial therapy in patients with positive blood cultures. J Clin Microbiol 2017;55:1437-1445.